

Walter Reppe Revival – Identification and Genesis of Copper Acetylides Cu₂C₂ as Active Species in Ethynylation Reactions

Tobias Bruhm,^[b] Andrea Abram,^[b] Johannes Häusler,^[b, c] Oliver Thomys,^[b] and Klaus [Köhler](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3008-7875)*^[a]

Abstract: More than six decades after proposing copper acetylide, $Cu₂C₂$, as catalytically active species in ethynylation reactions by Walter Reppe, the explosive species have been experimentally identified and investigated during catalysis in detail now. Taking into account specific safety precautions, unequivocal qualitative characterization was achieved by Raman spectroscopy and X-ray powder diffraction of supported copper catalysts $Cu/Bi/SiO₂$ during and after activation and catalysis in comparison to bulk $Cu₂C₂$ materials. Quantification of Cu₂C₂ succeeded by thermal analysis and Raman spectroscopy. Its formation in aqueous suspension is studied starting from copper(II) oxide catalysts including dissolution, reduction and precipitation steps. Copper acetylide formation can be correlated with catalytic performance in the ethynylation of formaldehyde to 1,4-butynediol.

The structurally complex polynuclear explosive copper acetylide, $Cu₂C₂$, was proposed and generally accepted as active species in copper catalyzed reactions with acetylene in the literature more than six decades ago, but it has never been experimentally proven and investigated in detail up to now. Walter Reppe et al. assumed that the active species in ethynylation reactions could consist of three acetylene molecules coordinated to a Cu_2C_2 molecule $(Cu_2C_2 \cdot 3C_2H_2)$. The

[a] *Prof. Dr. K. Köhler Department of Chemistry Technical University of Munich Lichtenbergstr. 485748 Garching (Germany) E-mail: klaus.koehler@tum.de* [b] *T. Bruhm, A. Abram, J. Häusler, Dr. O. Thomys Catalysis Research Center Technical University of Munich Ernst-Otto-Fischer-Str. 285748 Garching (Germany)* [c] *J. Häusler Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH Wilhelm-Johnen-Str., 52425 Jülich (Germany) Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under <https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202101932> Part of a Special Issue on Contemporary Challenges in Catalysis. © 2021 The Authors. Chemistry - A European Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.*

reaction scheme for the industrially important ethynylation reaction of formaldehyde to 1,4-butynediol is depicted in Scheme 1.[1]

In industrial processes, 1,4-butynediol is hydrogenated to either 1,4-butenediol or 1,4-butanediol, which are used for production of solvents (e.g. tetrahydrofuran), Vitamin B6 and other chemicals.[2]

W. Reppe et al. developed $Cu/Bi/SiO₂$ catalysts that are still successfully used in current industrial processes. The most commonly applied pre-catalysts are based on CuO.[1] Most of them are supported catalysts, where $Cu₂C₂$ is probably formed during activation and stable under reaction conditions. Still missing identification and characterization of these active species may be due to (i) the explosive properties of $Cu₂C₂$, which is only stable under wet conditions and in diluted state, (ii) limited availability of suitable analytical tools, (iii) its illdefined polymeric structure, also known from more defined analogous inorganic "Cu₂C₂"-compounds^[3] and (iv) challenges with its in situ formation in a complex 3-phase aqueous slurry during catalysis.

In contrast to this limited understanding of the catalyst, there is a clearly increased interest in application of acetylene based processes like the ethynylation of formaldehyde to 1,4 butynediol as alternative to petrol chemistry for countries with large coal reserves. This is reflected by an increased number of recent scientific investigations on supported oxide catalysts and structure-activity relationships. The influence of bismuth is studied by Yang et al. who propose that bismuth weakens the interaction between CuO and the support and promotes the dispersion of CuO.^[4] The influence of chlorine was investigated by Gao et al.^[5] Wang et al. investigated the influence of Bi and they conclude that a strong $CuO-Bi₂O₃$ interaction leads to a stabilization of Cu(I), being important for the formation of active $Cu₂C₂$ species.^[6] W. Reppe proposed that Bi inhibit the formation of cuprene which plugs the pipes in the industrial process.^[7] A relationship between catalytic activity and unspecified Cu(I)

$$
H\text{C} = \text{CH} + (\text{CH}_2\text{O})_{\text{aq}} \xrightarrow{\text{SO-150 °C}} \text{HO} \xrightarrow{\text{HO} \xrightarrow{\text{H}_2\text{O}}} \text{OH}
$$
\n
$$
H\text{C} = \text{CH} + (\text{CH}_2\text{O})_{\text{aq}} \xrightarrow{\text{[Cu,Bi]}} \text{H}\text{O} \xrightarrow{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \text{HO}
$$
\n
$$
H\text{O} \xrightarrow{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \text{H}\text{O}
$$
\n
$$
H\text{O} \xrightarrow{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \text{H}\text{O}
$$

Scheme 1. Ethynylation reaction of acetylene and formaldehyde to 1,4 butynediol and propargyl alcohol including the parameter range applied in industrial processes.[1]

surface centers (amorphous active cuprous species) was derived from infrared spectroscopic experiments (CO adsorption), one of the very few studies of spent catalysts in the literature proposing a reaction mechanism.^[8]

In all former experimental studies, the focus was on the characteristics of the pre-catalysts only (supported copper(II) oxides). The present study shall bridge the gap between these pre-catalysts and catalysis by focusing on the active species "Cu₂C₂" formed under activation conditions. We learned to work safely with the explosive $Cu₂C₂$ species in the activated, working and spent catalyst and identified Raman spectroscopy and Xray powder diffraction (XRD) as most suitable methods for its characterization. $Cu₂C₂$ was doubtlessly identified and even quantified (thermogravimetry, TG, and Raman spectroscopy) in the activated and working catalysts, allowing correlation between the progress of the reaction and the catalytic performance. Moreover, $Cu₂C₂$ formation could be followed during typical activation procedures in the complex solid-liquid-gas systems. All experiments were performed with silica supported industrial-like Cu/Bi catalysts and wherever necessary or possible with pure (bulk) or supported $Cu₂C₂$ for comparison.

Preparation and characterization of the pre-catalysts: The $SiO₂$ supported Cu/Bi catalysts were prepared by co-precipitation in close analogy to industrial catalysts. The standard loading of industrial catalysts is 30–60 wt% Cu and 2–4 wt% Bi.^[1c,9] X-ray powder diffractograms of a standard catalyst containing 35 wt% Cu and 4 wt% Bi after drying (80°C) and after calcination (4 h; 450 $^{\circ}$ C (=standard calcination temperature), and 700°C, denoted as Cu35Bi4-450 etc.) are given in Figure S1.

The sample dried at 80°C (Cu35Bi4-80) consists of basic copper nitrate $(Cu_2NO_3(OH)_3)$, the main product of co-precipitation. The calcined samples contain CuO. Table S1 summarizes crystallite sizes calculated by Scherrer equation.^[10]

Surface areas, pore sizes and pore volumes of the catalysts as well as the real Cu and Bi loadings (ICP-OES) are summarized in Supporting Information Table S1 too. As expected, the higher calcination temperature reduces the BET surface area. The Raman spectra of the catalyst after different thermal treatment are shown in Figure S2. The spectra of the calcined samples fit well with the CuO reference spectrum. The signals of Cu35Bi4- 80 match a $Cu₂NO₃(OH)₃$ reference.

Model compounds: pure and supported copper acetylide, $Cu₂C₂$: Besides the industrial-like Cu/Bi catalyst, pure Cu₂C₂ was synthesized and characterized for direct comparison, taking account of safety measures. Characterization of $Cu₂C₂$ is challenging due to its explosive decomposition in dry state. Characterization techniques are preferred, which allow to work in (aqueous) suspension or at least in wet state. Raman spectroscopy was found to best meet all the demands. Besides experimental advantages compared to infrared, Raman allows to identify the characteristic $C=C-$ and $C-H$ -bond vibrations separately from water. Supported $Cu₂C₂$ catalysts were found to be comparatively stable at dry (ambient) conditions at least up to moderate loadings.

In Figure 1, the Raman spectrum of the freshly prepared pure $Cu₂C₂$ is shown in comparison to a typical carbon reference (with typical D-peak at 1350 cm⁻¹ and G-peak at 1600 cm⁻¹).^[12]

Signals arise at 430 cm⁻¹ including a shoulder at higher wavenumbers and at 1710 cm^{-1} . The signal at 430 cm^{-1} corresponds to the Cu-C bond. Garbuzova et al. and Aleksanyan et al. indicate the same wavenumber for Cu $-C$ σ -bonds in other copper(I) acetylide compounds as for example (phenylethynyl)copper(I) (PEC, (CuC=CPh)_v).^[3a,b] The signal at 1710 cm⁻¹ corresponds to the C \equiv C bond and is surprisingly shifted; C \equiv Cbonds are rather expected at 2100 cm^{-1} . Aleksanyan et al. found $C \equiv C$ bond wavenumbers being lower on average by 180- 200 cm^{-1} compared to the corresponding alkyne precursor molecules in other copper(I) acetylide compounds.[3b] Sladkov et al. propose that the $C = C$ bond in copper(I) acetylide compounds can be shifted towards lower frequencies by up to 300 cm⁻¹ because of the decreasing order of the C \equiv C bond.^[3d] The even larger difference for $Cu₂C₂$ found in this investigation can be explained by additional bonding partners. In contrast to the molecular model compounds discussed above, triply bound carbons do not only coordinate to one copper but to two, i. e. on both sides of the C \equiv C bond in addition to π -bond coordination. The further decrease of the bond order (1710 cm^{-1}) is thus reasonable. Chemical A kineterial (and the distribution 2000) and the same specified and the sa

Crystalline Cu₂C₂ can also be identified by X-ray powder diffraction.^[13] The powder diffractogram of pure $Cu₂C₂$ is given in Figure S3. The pattern obtained for the freshly prepared $Cu₂C₂$ match well with the pattern published by Judai et al.,^[13] who also calculated the structure of lowest energy of $Cu₂C₂$ via DFT based on alkali metal acetylide compounds. Bond lengths of 1.29 Å between triple and double bonds were predicted. The C₂-unit is surrounded by four end-on and four side-on coordinated Cu atoms.[13] Several other polymer-like structures of copper acetylides were published.^[3a,d,14] A general formula is given in Scheme 2 for illustration.

Communication doi.org/10.1002/chem.202101932

$$
R-C_{\overline{f}}C-C_{u} \leftarrow \begin{array}{c} R \\ C \end{array} \quad R
$$

Scheme 2. General structure of Cu acetylides, R=Cu, H, organic group.^[3d]

The proposed structures match well with results obtained by Raman spectroscopy. The signal at 1710 cm^{-1} corresponds to the C_2 -building block representing the triple bond with decreased order caused by the π-bonded Cu atoms. The broad signal at 430 cm⁻¹ corresponds to the Cu-C- σ -bond. The broadness of the signal including the shoulder is caused by the variation of bond strengths between Cu and C and Cu and triple bonds.

Besides the structural identification, the amount of $Cu₂C₂$ formed is of particular interest for catalytic properties. Thermogravimetric analysis coupled with mass spectrometry (TG-MS) was found to be the most useful approach to quantify $Cu₂C₂$. A method was developed based on the decomposition of $Cu₂C₂$ in an oxygen containing atmosphere leading to different defined decomposition reactions (Scheme 3) and corresponding mass changes of the sample allowing determination of the initial acetylide amount. [3c,15]

The TG results of supported $Cu₂C₂$ samples with different CuO loadings (5 wt%, 15 wt%, 25 wt%) are exemplarily shown in Figure S4 together with a detailed description of the procedure. The mass increase by oxidation of Cu(I) to Cu(II)O was used to calculate the amount of $Cu₂C₂$ in the catalysts. The validation of the TG-MS results is demonstrated in Figure S5. All experiments were carried out with supported $Cu₂C₂$ only, because of the explosive decomposition of pure dry $Cu₂C₂$.

Ethynylation of formaldehyde catalyzed by (bulk) $Cu₂C₂$: The activation and reaction conditions are selected to be as equal/comparable as possible to the industrial process. The reaction of formaldehyde and acetylene to 1,4-butyndiol was

$$
Cu_2C_2 \longrightarrow Cu + C \stackrel{O_2}{\longrightarrow} CuO + C \stackrel{O_2}{\longrightarrow} CO
$$

Scheme 3. Proposed reactions occurring during thermogravimetry of Cu₂C₂ supported on $SiO₂$ ^[3c]

Scheme 4. Reactions during catalytic ethynylation of formaldehyde.

carried out at 100°C under slight pressure of acetylene (1.2 bar) .^[1] The reactions occurring under these conditions are summarized in scheme 4.

Before the reaction can start, the CuO precursor must be activated, i.e. the pre-catalyst is transformed into the active species $Cu₂C₂$. Industrially this is carried out either separately before the reaction or in situ during the reaction by adding new substrate and catalyst precursor to the reaction slurry. A typical activation time is 5 h.^[1c] During the activation both reactants are present and reaction conditions are applied. Due to the importance of this activation process for the formation and properties of $Cu₂C₂$, we investigated this particular step in detail.

Formation of $Cu₂C₂$ *under typical reaction conditions (catalyst activation).* Pre-reduction of Cu(II) to Cu(I) is the first step necessary to form the $Cu₂C₂$ phase. In the literature it is generally accepted^[5] that formaldehyde acts as reducing agent being oxidized to formic acid. There are however no studies investigating this reduction. We investigated the reduction of Cu(II) to Cu(I) by EPR spectroscopy, which allows the simultaneous observation of paramagnetic Cu(II) species dissolved in solution, as a solid as well as at interfaces. A first series of experiments (Figure S6) shows clearly that reduction of Cu(II) to Cu(I) does not take place, neither at temperatures of 25 nor at 100 °C, i.e. formaldehyde does not act as reducing reagent for Cu(II) under typical reaction conditions. When acetylene is added (Figure S7), Cu(II) chloride is slowly reduced to Cu(I) at 25°C in 45 min and very fast and completely within 2 min at 100°C. Analogous reduction experiments with the standard catalyst Cu35Bi4-450 performed at 100°C (Figure S8) show that the intensities of the Cu(II)-EPR signals (double integrals of the "powder" EPR spectra) decrease slowly over time (by about 20% per hour). Additional experiments showed that the CuO catalyst can be reduced by acetylene alone (without formaldehyde) at 100°C too. This agrees with reports of Brameld et al., who synthesized copper acetylides from Cu(II) solutions with acetylene.^[16] Chemical A kineterial Constant Company of the Metri and the Metri a

Formation of $Cu₂C₂$ as function of activation time can be traced by Raman spectroscopy (Figure 2). The Raman spectra of the activated Cu35Bi4-80 catalyst (not calcined), i. e. starting from supported basic copper nitrate $(Cu_2NO_3(OH)_3)$ are shown after certain reaction times.

During the purging period under N_2 (two top spectra), only signals of $Cu₂NO₃(OH)₃$ appear. Addition of acetylene gives rise to two signals at 430 cm⁻¹ (Cu–C bond) and 1710 cm⁻¹ (C $=$ Cbond) of $Cu₂C₂$. Under acetylene gas, the signals get more pronounced over time and a third signal becomes visible at 580 cm $^{-1}$. The signal at 430 cm $^{-1}$ of the activated catalyst is narrower and more intense than the signal of the reference (supported $Cu₂C₂$ is seemingly structurally better defined or crystalline). The signal at 580 cm^{-1} can only be observed with the activated catalyst and corresponds to a $\equiv C - C$ -bond^[3a] indicating that coupling products like Cu polyynides were formed. Controlled deposition of $Cu₂C₂$ in contrast to fast precipitation of pure $Cu₂C₂$ (reference) from Cu(I) solutions without reactants (formaldehyde) is the probable reason for these differences.

Chem. Eur. J. **2021**, *27*, 16834–16839 **<www.chemeurj.org>** 16836 © 2021 The Authors. Chemistry - A European Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH

Communication doi.org/10.1002/chem.202101932

Figure 2. Raman spectra of the Cu35Bi4-80 catalyst after certain activation times. Freshly prepared $Cu₂C₂$ and carbon (last two spectra) serve as reference.

The progressive formation of crystalline $Cu₂C₂$ is additionally confirmed by XRD of suspensions taken at different times during activation procedure (Figure S9–S11). Quantitative determination of $Cu₂C₂$ amounts by thermal analysis (Figure S12) shows that conversion of the Cu(II) precursor occurs continuously and is completed depending on calcination conditions, latest after 5–6 hours, which corresponds to activation times in patents.[1c]

In order to study the $Cu₂C₂$ formation mechanism during activation, the copper content in solution has been determined by ICP-OES as function of time (Figure S13 for the dried (noncalcined) catalyst); the results were confirmed by conductivity measurements of the solutions with a Cu(II) specific electrode (Figure S13b). During N_2 purging, copper leaches from the Cu/Bi catalysts into the reaction medium (e.g. up to 12 ppm = about 0.1% of the total copper content with Cu35Bi4-80, but up to 10 times less for catalysts calcined). This agrees with structural changes of the catalysts observed by Raman spectroscopy (Figure 2). With addition of C_2H_2 gas, the Cu(II) concentration drops almost to zero indicating that sparingly soluble $Cu₂C₂$ is precipitated. Raman spectroscopy and XRD revealed (Figure 2, Figure S9-11) that formation of $Cu₂C₂$ gradually occurs during activation (ca. 5 h). Copper acetylides are supposedly formed from temporarily dissolved copper, the permanent copper concentration during activation is determined to be 0.3 ppm. This also agrees with the gradual formation of $Cu₂C₂$ and offers an interesting starting point to optimize the final activated catalyst. The proposed model is illustrated in Figure 3.

Copper(I) acetylide activity in ethynylation reactions: Figure 4 shows the yields of propargyl alcohol and 1,4-butynediol in the ethynylation of formaldehyde in the presence of (bulk) $Cu₂C₂$.

Formaldehyde and $Cu₂C₂$ are not converted (stoichiometrically) to either propargyl alcohol or 1,4-butynediol in the absence of acetylene (a, left). After the addition of acetylene, 1,4-butynediol and propargyl alcohol are formed. $Cu₂C₂$ is not a reaction intermediate but acts as catalyst. Part b) also shows

Figure 3. Probable formation of Cu₂C₂ during the activation of a Cu/Bi/SiO₂ catalyst by copper(II) leaching, reduction and re-deposition onto the support under typical activation conditions.

Figure 4. (a) Reaction of formaldehyde with pure (bulk) Cu₂C₂ as catalyst and (b) reaction of formaldehyde and propargyl alcohol with $Cu₂C₂$ as catalyst.

that the addition of propargyl alcohol to the reaction mixture leads to formation of 1,4-butynediol in the presence of $Cu₂C₂$, i.e. $Cu₂C₂$ acts as catalyst for conversion of the intermediate propargyl alcohol too. 1,4-butynediol yield increases faster when acetylene is present. The results fit well with kinetic studies of Kiyama et al. who obtained a zero order reaction based on formaldehyde.[17]

The experiments were also conducted with the supported $Cu₂C₂$ catalyst Cu35Bi4-450 (Figure 5). During the N₂ purging period no products are formed. After the addition of acetylene, the catalyst is activated forming $Cu₂C₂$, which catalyzes the production of propargyl alcohol and 1,4-butynediol after an

Figure 5. Reaction of formaldehyde with Cu35Bi4-450 as catalyst.

induction period (about 20 min.). The yield of the intermediate propargyl alcohol is very low in accordance with former kinetic investigations. When acetylene is replaced by N_2 after 180 min, yields do not increase. Addition of propargyl alcohol after 300 min leads to the formation of 1,4-butyndiol again and underlines that $Cu₂C₂$ represents the active phase in the ethynylation reaction.

The reaction kinetics during activation is shown in Figure 6 for the CuO/Bi/SiO₂ catalysts after drying at 80 $^{\circ}$ C (Cu35Bi4-80) and after calcination (Cu35Bi4-450). A number of interesting information can be concluded: the catalyst without calcination does not show an activation period, i.e. formation of the product(s) starts immediately after introduction of C_2H_2 . The calcined catalyst has an induction period of about 20 min. This is in agreement with the observation that the formation of $Cu₂C₂$ is faster for the non-calcined catalyst starting from (supported) basic copper nitrate $(Cu_2NO_3(OH)_3)$ than from copper oxide. This is in agreement with the differences in the dissolved copper amount and supports the leaching mechanism for the $Cu₂C₂$ formation. The reaction rate (slope) is higher

Figure 6. Reaction kinetics of supported copper oxide catalysts calcined at different temperatures (80°C, 450°C).

for the non-calcined catalyst (3.8 $g_{1,4\textrm{-}butyne\textrm{diol}} \times g_{Cu}^{-1} \times h^{-1}$) than for the calcined catalyst (3.1 $g_{1,4\text{-}butynediol} \times g_{Cu}^{-1} \times h^{-1}$) up to the end of the activation period (300 min.) indicating a higher number of active species, when the catalyst is not calcined.

In summary, copper acetylides $Cu₂C₂$ have been doubtlessly proven experimentally as active species (Raman, XRD) in wet supported (Cu/Bi/SiO₂) and bulk copper oxide catalysts after activation and during ethynylation of formaldehyde to 1,4 butynediol for the first time. The structurally complex compound is formed during catalyst activation in aqueous suspension by leaching of copper(II) (ICP-OES) followed by reduction and reaction with C_2H_2 and deposition onto the support. The reducing agent is acetylene, not formaldehyde (EPR, conductivity). Correlation of properties of $Cu₂C₂$ (Raman, XRD, thermal analysis) with catalytic activity support the identification of copper(I) acetylide as active species. Experimental proof and quantification of copper acetylide bridges the gap between well-characterized copper oxide pre-catalysts and its catalytic performance and allows for advanced investigations of the mechanism and more efficient parameter optimization of copper catalyzed reactions with acetylene in the future.

Experimental Section

For more experimental details, see the Supporting Information.

CuO/Bi₂O₃/SiO₂ catalysts with 35 wt% Cu loading and 4 wt% Bi loading were prepared by a common co-precipitation procedure^[9] based on copper nitrate, dissolved bismuth oxide and sodium silicate. Pure or $SiO₂$ supported $Cu₂C₂$ was synthesized from CuCl and acetylene in ammoniacal solution.^[16] XRD measurements were carried out using an *Empyrean* diffractometer from *PANalytical* in reflection mode and in an angle range between 5° and 70° in steps of 0.008°. Raman spectra were recorded on an *InVia* Raman Microscope from *Renishaw* with a laser intensity of 0.015 mW using a 532 nm laser. EPR spectroscopy was measured using a *JEOL JES-RE* 2X spectrometer on X-band frequency at -120° C with a microwave frequency of 9.20 GHz, 5 mW, a modulation amplitude of 0.4 mT and a sweep-time of 4 min. The metal contents as well as the leached Cu amounts were determined via elemental analysis using an ICP-OES 700 from Agilent. For the conductivity measurement a copper(II)-selective electrode by *Metrohm* was inserted and an Ag/AgCl(aq) (3 M KCl) reference electrode was used. TG-MS experiments were done with a STARe System TGA DSC³⁺, TGA 2 from *Mettler Toledo GmbH* connected to a *ThermoStarTM* mass spectrometer from *Pfeiffer Vacuum.* The samples were heated from 25 °C to 1000 °C with a heating rate of 10 Kmin⁻¹ in synthetic air. The standard catalyst activation was carried out at 100°C and 1.2 bar of acetylene for 5 h. The pH was kept constant using a NaOH/NaH₂PO₄ buffer. For a standard activation procedure 5 g of the catalyst was applied in 100 mL of a 37% aqueous formaldehyde solution. Product analysis was done by GC using *Agilent G1530A* equipped with a TCD. The catalysts were separated with a syringe from the reaction suspension during the activation procedure. The separated catalysts were washed with water, dried under vacuum and stored in a glovebox for further characterization. The exact description of the synthesis of the catalysts, the activation procedure and the analytical methods are given in the Supporting Information. Channel A strategies and the control of the strategies and the strategies and the strategies and the strategies and the strategies are a strategies and the strategies are a strategies are a strategies are a strategies are

Acknowledgements

T.B. and A.A. thank the TUM Graduate School for financial support. We want to thank Dr. Carmen Haeßner for EPR measurements. Financial support for the catalytic testing unit by Clariant AG is acknowledged. Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL. Chemical Association (and the control of the control of

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: acetylides **·** copper **·** ethynylation **·** heterogeneous catalysis **·** Reppe chemistry

- [1] a) W. Reppe, *Ann. [Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1002/jlac.19555960102)* **1955**, *596*, 1; b) W. Reppe, *Ann. Chem.* **1955**, *596*, 25; c) R. J. Madon, P. Nagel, K. L. Deutsch, D. S. Thakur (BASF), WO 2017035133A1, **2017**; d) I.-T. Trotuş, T. Zimmermann, F. Schüth, *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 1761.
- [2] a) A. Igarashi, S. Sato, R. Takahashi, T. Sodesawa, M. Kobune, *[Catal.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catcom.2006.09.003) [Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catcom.2006.09.003)* **2007**, *8*, 807; b) R. V. Chaudhari, R. Jaganathan, D. S. Kolhe, G. Emig, H. Hofmann, *Appl. [Catal.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-9834(00)82613-5)* **1987**, *29*, 141; c) S. K. Tanielyan, S. R. More, R. L. Augustine, S. R. Schmidt, *Org. [Process](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.oprd.6b00375) Res. Dev.* **2017**, *21*, 327.
- [3] a) I. A. Garbuzova, I. R. Gol'ding, A. N. Schegolikhin, *J. Raman [Spectrosc.](https://doi.org/10.1002/jrs.1250260602)* **[1995](https://doi.org/10.1002/jrs.1250260602)**, *26*, 391; b) V. T. Aleksanyan, I. A. Garbuzova, I. R. Gol'ding, A. M.

Sladkov, *[Spectrochim.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0584-8539(75)80044-4) Acta Part A* **1975**, *31*, 517; c) F. Cataldo, C. S. Casari, *J. Inorg. [Organomet.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10904-007-9150-3) Polym. Mater.* **2007**, *17*, 641; d) A. M. Sladkov, L. Y. Ukhin, *Russ. Chem. Rev.* **1968**, *37*, [748–763](https://doi.org/10.1070/RC1968v037n10ABEH001701).

- [4] G. Yang, Y. Yu, M. U. Tahir, S. Ahmad, X. Su, Y. Xie, J. Wang, *[React.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11144-019-01561-5) Kinet. [Mech.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11144-019-01561-5) Catal.* **2019**, *127*, 425.
- [5] J. Gao, G. Yang, H. Li, M. Dong, Z. Wang, Z. Li, *[Processes](https://doi.org/10.3390/pr7040198)* **2019**, *7*, 198. [6] Z. Wang, Z. Niu, Q. Hao, L. Ban, H. Li, Y. Zhao, Z. Jiang, *[Catalysts](https://doi.org/10.3390/catal9010035)* **2019**, *9*,
- [35](https://doi.org/10.3390/catal9010035).
- [7] W. Reppe, *Ann. Chem.* **1955**, *596*, 6.
- [8] Z. Wang, L. Ban, P. Meng, H. Li, Y. Zhao, *[Nanomaterials](https://doi.org/10.3390/nano9071038)* **2019**, *9*, 1038. [9] E. Geravand, Z. Shariatinia, F. Yaripour, S. Sahebdelfar, *[Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cherd.2015.02.003) Eng. Res. Des.* **[2015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cherd.2015.02.003)**, *96*, 63.
- [10] P. Scherrer, *Gött. Nachr. Math. Phys. Klass.* **1918**, *2*, 98.
- [11] J. Nylén, S. Konar, P. Lazor, D. Benson, U. Häussermann, *J. [Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4770268) Phys.* **2012**, *137*, [224507.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4770268)
- [12] M. N. Ess, H. Bladt, W. Mühlbauer, S. I. Seher, C. Zöllner, S. Lorenz, D. Brüggemann, U. Nieken, N. P. Ivleva, R. Niessner, *[Combust.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.combustflame.2015.09.016) Flame* **2016**, *163*, [157.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.combustflame.2015.09.016)
- [13] K. Judai, J. Nishijo, N. Nishi, *Adv. [Mater.](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.200600771)* **2006**, *18*, 2842.
- [14] a) S. S. Y. Chui, M. F. Y. Ng, C.-M. Che, *[Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.200400881) Eur. J.* **2005**, *11*, 1739; b) F. Cataldo, *[Polym.](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0126(199901)48:1%3C15::AID-PI85%3E3.0.CO;2-%23) Int.* **1999**, *48*, 15; c) F. Cataldo, *J. Raman [Spectrosc.](https://doi.org/10.1002/jrs.1830)* **2008**, *39*, [169.](https://doi.org/10.1002/jrs.1830)
- [15] K. Judai, S. Numao, J. Nishijo, N. Nishi, *J. Mol. [Catal.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcata.2011.07.006) A* **2011**, *347*, 28.
- [16] V. F. Brameld, M. T. Clark, A. P. Seyfang, *J. Soc. Chem. Ind. [London](https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.5000661007)* **1947**, *66*, [346.](https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.5000661007)
- [17] R. Kiyama, J. Osugi, K. Suzuki, *Rev. Phys. Chem. Jpn.* **1952**, *22*, 13.

Manuscript received: June 2, 2021 Accepted manuscript online: July 16, 2021 Version of record online: August 1, 2021